PERSONAL INFORM	PERSONAL INFORMATION						
* 1. What is your Prime	e Time Role?						
	Scholar	Storyteller					
Role		\bigcirc					
* 2. Enter the name of	your Prime Time site.						
3. What was the start of	late of your program?						
Date / Time							
Date MM/DD/YYYY							
KENTUCKY-Scholar	and Storyteller-Final Report	: 2024					
* 4. What was the address: City/Town:	ress of your Prime Time site?						
KENTUCKY-Scholar	and Storyteller-Final Report	: 2024					

KENTUCKY-Scholar and Storyteller-Final Report 2024

* 5. Please provide	the information re	quested below.		
Name:				
Home Address:				
Home Address 2:				
City/Town:				
State:	select	state	<u>_</u>	
ZIP:				
Email Address:				
Verify Email Address:	:			
apply. Session 1 Session 2 Session 3 Session 4 Session 5 Session 6 Please list the name	e the number of ho	teller who filled in fo urs you spent pla	r you during the missed seanning, organizing, an ate picture of the cost	ssion(s). d implementing
KENTUCKY-Scho	lar and Storytell	er-Final Repor	2024	
PROGRAM INFO	RMATION			
* 8. Which Prime T	ime series was util	ized for this prog	Onward and Upward	It's a Small World After All: Global Citizenship
Series				

* 9. Was this a bilingual program? Yes, Other (please specify Yes, English/Spanish below) No Bilingual If other, please list the targeted languages. KENTUCKY-Scholar and Storyteller-Final Report 2024 **BOOKS AND HUMANITIES THEMES** * 10. Please rate the effectiveness of the prescribed themes and topics for each session at generating humanities-based discussion. Very Effective Somewhat Effective Not Effective Session 1 Session 2 Session 3 Session 4 Session 5 Session 6 Please explain your response by listing the most/least effective themes and how you would address any themerelated issues.

* 11. Please rate the overall effectiveness of the books in each session at generating humanities-based discussion.						
	Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Effective			
Session 2						
Session 3						
Session 4						
Session 5						
Session 6						
* 12. Please list specific examp productive discussion.	les of humanities c	questions that generated	especially			
			le			
KENTUCKY-Scholar and Sto	oryteller-Final Re	eport 2024				

TEAM MEMBERS

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Co-Discussion Leader (scholar or storyteller)						
Program Coordinator						
Community Organizer						
Preschool Facilitator						
Please provide specific examples of team coor	dination and/or are	eas of difficulty.				
KENTUCKY-Scholar and Storyteller-Final Report 2024						
PARTICIPANTS						

* 13. Please rate the working relationships between you and other implementing team

members.

Session 1 Session 2 Session 3 Session 4 Session 6 Please Explain Your Response # 15. Based on your observations and experiences, please indicate the level at which participants demonstrated improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking Moderate level of improved critical thinking Low level of improvement No observed improvement Critical Thinking No observed critical thinking No observed improvement No observed critical thinking No observed critical thinking No observed improvement No observed critical thinking No		hat prepared for each session by reading the books together at home.							
Session 2 Session 3 Session 4 Session 5 Session 6 Please Explain Your Response # 15. Based on your observations and experiences, please indicate the level at which participants demonstrated improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. No observed improved critical thinking Low level of improved improvement No observed improvement Critical Thinking No observed improvement No observed impr			participants read at	participants read at	participants read at	participants read at	participants read at		
Session 3 Session 4 Session 5 Session 6 Please Explain Your Response # 15. Based on your observations and experiences, please indicate the level at which participants demonstrated improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking Moderate level of improved critical thinking No observed thinking Critical Thinking	Session 1								
Session 4	Session 2								
Session 5 Session 6 Please Explain Your Response T15. Based on your observations and experiences, please indicate the level at which participants demonstrated improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. No observed thinking critical thinking improvement.	Session 3								
Session 6	Session 4		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc				
Please Explain Your Response * 15. Based on your observations and experiences, please indicate the level at which participants demonstrated improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. No observed thinking thinking critical thinking improvement. Critical Thinking O	Session 5								
High level of improved critical thinking skills over the course of the program. High level of improved critical thinking below thinking t	Session 6			\bigcirc	\bigcirc				
improved critical thinking improved critical thinking law critical	Please Explain Your Res	sponse							
	-	strated improved High level of improved critical	critical thin Moderate l improved o	king skills of evel of critical Low	over the cou	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
Please explain your response, and cite examples of responses and conversations.	participants demon	strated improved High level of improved critical	critical thin Moderate l improved o	king skills of evel of critical Low	over the cou	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
	participants demon	High level of improved critical thinking	Moderate l improved o thinkin	king skills of evel of critical Low ng	v level of imprecritical thinkin	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
	participants demon	High level of improved critical thinking	Moderate l improved o thinkin	king skills of evel of critical Low ng	v level of imprecritical thinkin	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
	participants demon	High level of improved critical thinking	Moderate l improved o thinkin	king skills of evel of critical Low ng	v level of imprecritical thinkin	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
	participants demon	High level of improved critical thinking	Moderate l improved o thinkin	king skills of evel of critical Low ng	v level of imprecritical thinkin	erse of the proved No	orogram.		
	participants demon	High level of improved critical thinking	Moderate l improved o thinkin	king skills of evel of critical Low ng	v level of imprecritical thinkin	erse of the proved No	orogram.		

* 14. Based on your observations and experiences, please estimate the number of participants

group below par	-	IIimb	Madamata	I am seed a C	
		High rate of participation	Moderate rate of participation	Low rate of participation	No participation
Parents					
Children					
Please explain your	response, and cite example	es of responses ar	nd conversations.		
					<i>[i</i>
17 Based on v	our observations and	evneriences r	olease indicate	the level at a	which
-	our observations and				
articipants den	our observations and nonstrated an improve				
participants den					
oarticipants den	nonstrated an improve				
-	nonstrated an improve High level of	ed attitude tow Moderate leve	vard reading a	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants den	nonstrated an improve	ed attitude tow	vard reading a	nd learning c	over the cours
articipants den	nonstrated an improve High level of	ed attitude tow Moderate leve	vard reading a	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denoted the program. Attitude	nonstrated an improve High level of	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denoted the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denor the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants den of the program.	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denor of the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denor of the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denor of the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denoted the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denoted the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants den of the program.	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denor the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed
participants denoted the program. Attitude	High level of improved attitude	Moderate level	vard reading and of Low level of attit	nd learning c	over the cours No observed

	ir observations and instrated an increas	-		
the program.	notration air moreus	od level of positive	idiniy intordotion	ovor the course of
	High level of increased interaction	Moderate level of increased interaction	Low level of increased interaction	No observed increase
Interaction				
Please explain your res	sponse, and cite example	es of responses and cor	nversations.	
~	s / improvements w te this. (Please cons	•	-	
	management, and l			ang training,
KHC Management			G	
Training				
Manuals				
Books				
Local Implementation				
Other				
KENTUCKY-Scho	olar and Storytell	er-Final Report 2	2024	
ADDITIONAL CO	OMMENTS			
20. Please use this	s space to address a	ny issues not ment	cioned previously.	
KENTUCKY-Scho	olar and Storytell	er-Final Report 2	2024	
FINISHED!				

Thank You!